


LDI implementation



One size does not fit all

Strategy Spotlight



Greg Nordquist, CFA, Director Overlay Strategies

Customised LDI solutions

Defined benefit (DB) plans following a liability-driven investing (LDI) strategy often look to an LDI completion manager to oversee the program. In our experience, there is a wide range of suitable approaches depending on the plan's specific situation and objectives. A one-size-fits-all solution to liability hedging would be inappropriate and potentially more complex and/or costly than a customised program. Russell Investments' flexible implementation platform and broader actuarial and advisory capabilities offer clients a robust range of solutions in this space. Our approach is to provide a range of LDI solutions tailored to a client's current situation and to evolve as those needs progress.

Different solutions for different stages

While the LDI completion manager has become a common role, it shouldn't be a one-size-fits-all deliverable. The portfolio needs of each DB plan sponsor are driven by specific client circumstances related to funded status, plan status, institution type and plan sponsor health. As we see it, there are three distinct stages that plan sponsors typically fall into, and each of these stages requires a customised LDI solution. For example, plans that are at the early stages of their LDI journey tend to be quite underfunded, therefore requiring substantial contributions from return-seeking assets to improve their funded status. Over time, these early stage plans will generally be interested in increasing their hedge ratio and designing a de-risking glidepath to implement as funded status improves. Contrast that with the needs of late stage plans. These plans are over-funded, de-risked and approaching a "hibernation" period. They have completed their glidepath and are starting to research exit strategies from pension liabilities. The late stage plans have a substantial amount of physical assets to allocate to liability hedging and ultimately building a portfolio for a pension buyout.

Most U.S. plans fall somewhere in between these two extremes. These middle stage plans have a desire to become late stage plans, but they need to follow a deliberate, risk-managed strategy to get there. Their respective LDI implementation needs are just as varied as the plans themselves.

“...LDI implementation needs are just as varied as the plans themselves.

Solutions for each stage

By detailing the comparative LDI needs of the three stages (i.e., early, middle and late), we're able to highlight an appropriate solution at each stage.

Early stage

Need

At this stage, reducing interest rate risk through duration extension in a capital efficient manner is extremely important. This ensures that the high return needs of the total portfolio aren't compromised.

Solution

- Establish glidepath infrastructure and daily monitoring. Although at this point, the glidepath triggers may seem far away, funded status can move quickly from a combination of favorable markets, interest rate changes and contributions.
- Extend duration through a physical portfolio of long Treasury bonds or STRIPS, and synthetically using futures or swaps.¹ See sidebar on Hedge Long First (HLF).
- Construct a hedging portfolio to target the desired hedge ratio. The hedge portfolio should be based on benchmark exposures so as not to override the manager insights embedded in active portfolios. Ideally both actual and benchmark exposures will be available for analysis.
- Monitor the benchmark and actual hedge ratios daily but rebalance monthly or after material deviations (e.g., +/-2%) just as would be done in asset-only space.
 - Adjust asset allocation and hedge the liabilities using derivatives for efficiency and to avoid the higher transaction cost and disruption from trading physical manager portfolios.
- Introduce surplus-oriented reporting as a complement to traditional asset-based reporting. Additional elements should include funded status, surplus return and hedge ratio.

Middle stage

Need

At this stage, monitoring and implementing the glidepath is key. To do this effectively, plan sponsors need the ability to act quickly when key funded status or interest rate levels are triggered.

Solution – building on the early stage

- Measure funded status daily with customized glidepath triggers based on funded status and/or interest rates. Shifts can be implemented automatically or left to sponsor approval.
- Create a risk-managed approach to implementing the glidepath and hedge ratio. It's generally prudent to make the asset allocation shift and increase the hedge ratio immediately using derivatives once a funded status trigger is activated. With the target risk profile now realised, the reduction of physical return-seeking assets to fund the hedge portfolio can be implemented using a more deliberate approach. The transition should be tightly coordinated to ensure a smooth outcome as the migration between synthetic and physical exposures occurs.

Hedge Long First (HLF) strategy


HLF is a capital efficient hedging strategy that uses a combination of long Treasury STRIPS and derivatives. (See Related reading.) This strategy maximises the risk reduction benefits of the hedge by focusing on the longest dated cash flows first. The longest cashflows contribute a much greater share of the risk than shorter cash flows, which have very little duration sensitivity. For a typical plan, the longest 40% of cash flows can make up 75% of the total liability risk profile. Using the HLF strategy on early stage portfolios can often double the hedge ratio for a given level of assets, providing overall surplus risk reduction and tail risk protection.

See **Exhibits 4-6** in the appendix for example liability risk profile and HLF strategy implementation.

- Implement enhanced surplus focused reporting, which clearly shows the impact of the overall pension plan and hedging portfolio on surplus return and risk. Reporting should de-emphasise asset orientation in proportion to the reduction in return seeking assets, while adding more detailed monitoring of the contribution to risk and the performance of the hedge portfolio and the overall fund. See Exhibits 1 and 2.

Exhibit 1: Surplus risk modeling

The transition from an asset-only focus to LDI requires a change in reporting to capture both surplus risk and return relative to the liability.



For illustrative purposes only.

Exhibit 2: Surplus return attribution

Funded status attribution details how the surplus is impacted by interest rates, credit spreads, return seeking assets and cash flows.

Quarter to date				
	Assets	Liabilities	Impact on surplus	Surplus Δ
Beginning of quarter (3/31/2019)	2,469.8	2,274.6		195.3
Treasury yield change	78.3	108.3		-30.0
Credit spread change	11.6	22.9		-11.3
Yield during the period	15.9	30.2		-14.3
Return-seeking asset returns	32.5	0.0		32.5
Contributions	0.0	0.0		0.0
Benefit accruals	0.0	15.1		-15.1
Benefit payments	-79.7	-79.7		0.0
Other	-1.0	0.0		-1.0
Current date (6/30/2019)	2,527.4	2,371.3		156.1

For illustrative purposes only.

Late stage

Need

Build a portfolio with very low surplus volatility to maintain funded status in preparation to potentially hibernate the plan or prepare for a possible risk transfer to an insurance provider.

Solution

- Since risk is no longer dominated by the return-seeking assets, the hedge portfolio must be dialed in to match the plan's liabilities. Derivative usage continues to be a critical component for reducing key rate duration risks and reaching the targeted hedge ratio. The physical portfolio becomes increasingly focused on credit, although retention of Treasury exposure remains appropriate.
- As with Middle stage, there is detailed reporting on the fully hedged portfolio, liability returns and risk attribution. Additional granularity on the non-investable aspects of the liability is also useful.

Exhibit 3: Comparing LDI stages

The following table summarises the key features of an LDI Completion strategy across the three stages.

CONSIDERATION	EARLY STAGE	MIDDLE STAGE	LATE STAGE
Top priority	Extend duration with capital efficiency and tail risk protection	Efficient glidepath management and de-risking implementation; improve surplus reporting	Maintain funded status, minimise surplus volatility and manage to a precise hedge ratio
Glidepath	Set up capability and monitor (but likely a distant need)	Daily monitoring of glidepath triggers with swift implementation	Completely de-risk
Hedge strategy	HLF, mostly via Treasury exposure; utilise leverage to the extent comfortable	Evolve from pure duration to key rate duration matching. Utilise combination of credit and Treasury solutions	Full curve match on Treasury, high credit hedge but <100% to offset residual return-seeking exposure and tail-risk scenarios
Reporting needs	Return oriented, supplemented with funded status, surplus return and hedge ratio	Primarily surplus oriented, with a strong emphasis on LDI hedge portfolio and total fund surplus risk and detailed attribution	Purely surplus oriented with detailed attribution, including non-investable elements of the liability

Conclusion


Early, middle and late stage LDI programs all focus on effectively managing surplus risk and the efficient use of capital. Yet the necessary oversight, reporting, complexity and associated costs are quite different based on how a client's individual situation progresses. In the early stage, significant benefits can be obtained from a simple, capital-efficient approach to interest rate management. Clients in the middle stage should be well prepared to implement de-risking moves caused by contributions and fast-moving markets. This middle stage often requires daily oversight and a multi-faceted approach to risk management, with reporting that provides insight into the strategy and the results. With the finish line in sight, late stage LDI programs require thoughtful risk management, implementation and reporting to help ensure that the plan remains positioned for the sponsor's desired outcomes. These shifts can be more efficiently managed and implemented with the help of an LDI completion manager.

¹ The STRIPS acronym stands for Separate Trading of Registered Interest and Principal of Securities.

Appendix

Exhibit 4: Liability cash flow vs. risk profile

Liabilities



Risk (i.e., contribution to liability variance)





Exhibit 5: Liability risk reduction – Traditional vs. Hedge Long First

Liability weight



Hedge Long First





Exhibit 6: Liability risk reduction – Traditional key rate duration (KRD) approach vs. Hedge Long First

For a fully funded plan, this means that a 40% allocation to LDI fixed income can hedge an additional 35% relative to a key rate duration matched strategy. Using derivatives in addition to physicals allows for further risk reduction for a given allocation.



For illustrative purposes only.

Related reading

The LDI Quarterback – Dec 2014

Hedge Long First: An Alternative Approach to LDI – April 2015

HLF Implementation Examples – January 2016

Guide to Plan Hibernation – April 2016

Liability-responsive asset allocation – Feb 2017

Simplifying the LDI story through hedge ratio levers – Feb 2017

About Russell Investments

Russell Investments is a global asset manager with a unique set of capabilities that we believe is essential to managing your total portfolio and to meeting your desired outcome. At Russell Investments, we stand with you, whether you're an institutional investor, a financial adviser, or an individual guided by an advisor's personalised advice. We believe the best way to reach your desired outcomes is with a multi-asset approach that combines: asset allocation, capital markets insights, factor exposures, manager research and portfolio implementation.

For more information

Call Russell Investments at [+612 9229 5111](tel:+61292295111) or
visit russellinvestments.com.au

Important information

Issued by Russell Investment Management Ltd ABN 53 068 338 974, AFS Licence 247185 (RIM). This document provides general information only and has not prepared having regard to your objectives, financial situation or needs. Before making an investment decision, you need to consider whether this information is appropriate to your objectives, financial situation or needs. This information has been compiled from sources considered to be reliable but is not guaranteed. Russell Investments or its associates, officers or employees may have interests in the financial products referred to in this information by acting in various roles including broker or adviser, and may receive fees, brokerage or commissions for acting in these capacities. In addition, Russell Investments or its associates, officers or employees may buy or sell the financial products as principal or agent. RIM is part of Russell Investments.

Copyright © 2021 Russell Investments. All rights reserved. This material is proprietary and may not be reproduced, transferred, or distributed in any form without prior written permission from Russell Investments.