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When you hear the phrase “risk management,” what comes to mind? In the financial world, most people immediately think of the risk residing within a specific investment portfolio. However, Russell believes in taking a more holistic perspective, which extends beyond investment portfolio risks to include staying apprised of governance and its impact on the total enterprise.

Of course, building a portfolio designed to weather market turmoil remains vitally important, but investment risk is not the only risk that has an impact on your ability to meet your organizational goals. We encourage non-profit organizations to adopt a holistic approach that includes building the appropriate governance system, developing a common set of beliefs and incorporating appropriate tools for the measurement and management of risk.

What do we mean by risk?

“Risk” means different things to different people. However, most will agree that risk and return are often companions. According to an old adage, and in the broadest sense, investors generally have two options – “eat well or sleep well.” Investors who choose “eat well” accept certain risks in exchange for potentially higher rewards and more downward pressure during market declines. Investors who choose “sleep well” opt for less risk in exchange for less potential upside in strong markets and less downward pressure in weak markets. Of course, the two options are seldom as clearly demarcated as the old adage suggests, and in Russell’s experience, few investment committees know their true risk tolerance until it is tested by market experience.

To manage a non-profit organization’s portfolio well, there are myriad risks to oversee, such as credit risk, portfolio diversification, liquidity risk, and legal risks, as well as the operational and other risks inherent to a specific organization’s circumstances or expectations. For example, higher education endowments are often particularly mindful of the impact of inflation, the amount of draw or spend required to maintain enterprise operations and the overall liquidity of their portfolios.

In today’s low-return environment, non-profit organizations face another reality: relatively high fixed financial responsibilities. This further complicates the seemingly simple choice between eating well and sleeping well.
Framing a strong governance structure

Given the unavoidable trade-off between risk and reward, it is critically important that all stakeholders engaged in portfolio management and oversight fully understand their roles – particularly in terms of providing advice versus “owning” specific decisions.

Russell believes there are five key components of a strong risk management program (or process): risk governance, risk measurement, risk assessment, risk management and risk compliance. Risk governance includes both the structure and the culture within an organization. How risk is measured, assessed and managed flows from the established governance process. And finally, no risk system is complete without compliance checks, which tie all of elements of the risk management process together.

Exhibit 1: Risk management process – Five key parts

Sources of risks: Fiduciary, Asset/Liability, Market, Credit, Liquidity, Structural, Enterprise, Operational

1. RISK GOVERNANCE
   a. Develop risk governance structure
   b. Determine risk & return objective
   c. Develop risk aware culture

2. RISK MEASUREMENT
   a. Surplus, total fund, assets, liabilities
   b. Downside, factor, scenario
   c. Decompositions, drivers, time, horizon

3. RISK ASSESSMENT
   a. What is the value of the risk?
   b. What does it cost to hedge?
   c. What does it cost to eliminate?

4. RISK MANAGEMENT
   a. Risk budgeting & asset allocation
   b. Tail risk hedges, including derivatives
   c. Dynamic strategies

5. RISK COMPLIANCE
   a. Risk of fund within mandate?
   b. Risk of managers within mandates?
   c. Other risks: Counterparty, operational, audit

A robust risk management program (or process) can lead to healthier outcomes for the entire organization. This does not mean that your organization is guaranteed to be conflict-free, or that it will always meet investment outcomes over every time period. But careful documentation, appropriate resource allocation, timely decision making and organization-wide agreement on core beliefs nearly always results in a more effective decision-making process.

Unambiguous accountability is the underpinning of Russell’s holistic approach to managing investment portfolio risks.

The “fiduciary ladder,” which Russell has used for many years to help our clients understand and appreciate the critical components of the portfolio management and oversight processes, identifies the primary decisions involved in the process. Risk management is implicitly included in each step of the ladder, as well as being a distinct rung in the process.
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Exhibit 2: Fiduciary oversight process

For each rung, one person or a single group should have final decision-making authority.

Since the 2008 global financial crisis (GFC), the trend has been toward outsourcing more decisions to external providers or investment professionals. Why? Investment committees and boards increasingly understand the importance of focusing on what they do best and delegating the rest to qualified investment professionals. Some questions you and your team need to ask are:

- Who owns specific decisions?
- Which decisions can be delegated to external partners?
- What kinds of risks are we comfortable with, and willing to take?

Identifying, documenting and measuring client beliefs

Understanding, agreeing on and documenting a common belief system helps smooth governance processes and can ease tensions during challenging times. For example, during the GFC, Russell clients who had already talked through their belief systems and had established procedures for action were able to avert organizational paralysis and thus, in some cases, capitalized on investment opportunities and portfolio innovation.

Documenting beliefs also helps protect institutional memory. Ten, 15 or 20 years from now, an investment committee that is completely “new,” and that perhaps hasn’t experienced an event as significant as the GFC, could benefit from your documentation, which could help them respond in accordance with prudent stewardship if another financial crisis unfolds.

At Russell, we often begin the belief-identification process by providing our assessment of a client’s beliefs, which we base on documentation such as the Investment Policy Statement and policy portfolio allocation, and then by asking investment committee members if they agree with or are shocked by our evaluation. Then, through further dialog with the client, we test these beliefs and adjust the organization’s documentation (and, at times, its portfolio) accordingly.
Establishing a strong beliefs framework is not a precise science, but it is a critical compass in turbulent seas. While frameworks will differ, due to individual goals and objectives, there are some common themes, such as those concerning organizational:

- Tolerance for loss
- Financial strength
- Active versus passive management beliefs
- Investment strategy roles in the portfolio
- Rebalancing policy
- Capital markets beliefs

At Russell, as we build portfolios for our clients, we work with them to assure that they are comfortable with our approach and that they understand the strategies we are using.

**Total portfolio investment risk management**

Building a risk management process for your investment portfolio requires walking that fine line between managing your organization’s portfolio to safeguard its mission in perpetuity and managing it against annual spending needs. There are near-infinite ways to build an investment portfolio, due to the complexities of selecting the asset classes, strategies, managers and implementation vehicles best suited to your goals. For Russell, determining the most appropriate combination for a particular institution requires working closely with the key decision makers/fiduciaries for the portfolio.

The critical first step in portfolio management is for the organization to identify its objectives, constraints and risk tolerance and then for the decision makers to come to agreement on the definition of success. For example, most endowments base their return targets on the annual draw/spend plus inflation figure, which has a significant impact on an educational institution’s operations. Determining this figure requires aligning the interests and opinions of the various decision makers. Failure to reach consensus can put a portfolio at risk.

Once objectives and constraints have been aligned, determining risk tolerance is crucial. While this is not an exact science, asking thoughtful questions about acceptable loss levels, cash inflow prospects and present and future demands on assets can help clarify realistic risk-tolerance levels. After answering these types of questions, agreement as to the time horizon over which the portfolio’s success will be measured provides a strong framework for portfolio construction.

**Translating client risk preferences into portfolio management**

The process of managing a portfolio, like many other activities, is an ongoing, iterative process. To achieve desired outcomes, portfolio managers need be able to address a number of critical issues, by asking such questions as:

- Am I operating in line with my investment objectives?
- Have I chosen the right investment strategies, and are their weights aligned with my convictions?
- Is now the appropriate time to use these strategies?
- Do I have the right investment managers?
- Is there enough diversity within the portfolio?
- Are the aggregate portfolio positioning and risk exposures aligned with my preferred positioning? Are there distinct alpha sources and diverse vulnerabilities?
- What are the liquidity needs of this portfolio?
Additionally, limits and thresholds need to be set. If a particular strategy performs very well, it may exceed its targeted limit and thus need appropriate adjustments. When you set guidelines, look at the “tails” of those guidelines and agree on whether you are comfortable with the portfolio if it hits those extremes. Layered on top of this is an assessment of the current and future market environment, such as assessing whether we are entering into a low-volatility or high-volatility regime; where interest rates are now, and where we expect them to go; inflation and its direction; and whether markets are in times of stress or times of “easy money.”

Finally, rather than allowing the portfolio’s liquidity profile to come about implicitly from asset allocation, consider more explicit liquidity management. This approach could increase liquidity efficiency, foster a holistic liquidity view and lead to an allocation across the liquidity spectrum that best aligns with the goals for portfolio outcome. Understanding and managing liquidity can be critical, particularly in times of market stress.\(^1\)

The roles investments play in a portfolio have evolved from the simplistic framework of growth assets (equities) and diversifying assets (purely fixed income) to today’s breadth of strategies and more varied roles. For non-profit and total-return investors, Russell has identified three primary roles that make up a portfolio and the investment strategies that are deployed in each. The roles include growth, return enhancement, and risk reduction/diversification. It is important to remember that individual strategies may fulfill more than one role.

**Reporting**

Finally, while Russell emphasizes the need for robust, ongoing monitoring, we also recommend that, at the fiduciary level, reporting be kept relatively simple and high-level. Each recipient should be given relatively short summaries of just a few pages. For example, a report Russell provides for fiduciaries typically includes a snapshot of a portfolio’s key metrics (past, present, future), such as those identified in the Investment Policy Statement and others that have been agreed upon by key investment fiduciaries as being measures of success. This helps all of those involved to stay focused on their individual roles and to be appropriately informed without being overwhelmed.

**Summary**

The markets have grown in complexity, as have the tasks associated with building an investment portfolio appropriate to your organization’s goals, and of conducting a risk management process for that portfolio. Your ongoing success depends upon your ability to understand the risks and benefits in evolving market opportunities, and on being able to manage the portfolio dynamically while avoiding undue risks.

Russell believes that a non-profit organization increases its chances of meeting its goals when it is in possession of a strong risk management framework. A clear-eyed look at risk often results in better decision making in the matters of portfolio management and oversight.

While managing investment portfolio risk is highly complex, the steps involved in creating a workable environment for success can be summarized as follows:

1. Develop a risk governance structure
2. Establish, affirm and document your organization’s beliefs
3. Determine your goals and risk tolerance
4. View risks through multiple lenses
5. Measure and manage those risks

---

ABOUT RUSSELL INVESTMENTS

Russell Investments is a global asset manager and one of only a few firms that offers actively managed multi-asset portfolios and services, which include advice, investments and implementation. Russell Investments stands with institutional investors, financial advisors and individuals working with their advisors—using our core capabilities that extend across capital market insights, manager research, asset allocation, portfolio implementation and factor exposures to help investors achieve their desired investment outcomes.

FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Call Russell Investments at 800-426-8506 or visit russellinvestments.com/institutional
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